there's nothing that contradicts it.

Thank you ladies and gentlemen.

THE COURT: Mr. Ford.

MR. FORD: Thank you, Your Honor.

Madame Forelady and ladies and gentlemen, I'm just gonna take a -- a very brief time. You've heard lawyers say this I'm sure but I will be brief.

There are two pages on your Verdict Sheet and I respectfully suggest to you that the very first question has to do with my client, Cindy Young, and that your answer should be a quick no. She was not negligent. She did not cause this accident.

Let me take a moment to tell you that what you just heard from Plaintiff's Counsel is interesting because he is saying that Baltimore Tank Lines through its operator should be responsible for this accident for two reasons. One, the operator of that truck abruptly changed lanes right in front of Mr. Ervin without a signal and caused an accident.

It's interesting to note that that same scenario that he describes as being the basis for his claim against Baltimore Tank Lines is exactly what Mr. Ervin's did so far as my client is concerned.

He abruptly changed lanes right into her path without a signal, without applying his brakes, without a

horn. Why? I can't answer the why. Mr. Ervin's has given you an explanation as to why he did it but the fact is he did it. Was he forced over? I can't answer that question. That is his contention. My client did not see the tanker truck. She can't tell you whether he was forced over or why he went over.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But the fact remains that Mr. Ervin came directly into the path of my client's car and as he said within a split second was hit. There is no proof that my client did anything wrong.

She's driving home from the doctor's visit with her six year old child when all of a sudden for whatever reason Mr. Ervin comes into her lane. There is nothing she could do.

Plaintiff's Counsel suggested to you that maybe you could conclude that there was something else she could have done to avoid this accident. And I suggest to you would the right thing for her to do to veer over into what would be the opposite lane of traffic as Mr. Ervin's veered into her lane?

I respectfully suggest to you that would not be the right thing to do. Ms. Young tried to get stopped but couldn't through no fault of her own.

Whatever you decide as to why Mr. Ervin's came over into that lane whether it was because he was forced over as he said or for some other reason, I suggest to you that has nothing to do with my client. The fact is he came right into the path of her car. She should be held not negligent and I respectfully ask that you answer no.

Thank you.

MR. STEPHENSON: May I please the Court, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Proceed.

MR. STEPHENSON: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, there are certain exhibits that have been admit -- admitted into evidence and I'm holding them here -- Defendant's Exhibits have been marked BTL in various letters and you'll be having the opportunity to take these back with you and to review these in conjunction with your deliberations.

And these are all scaled engineering drawings prepared by -- an engineer including scales drawings of the vehicles and you'll note the scale is at the bottom of -- of the pictures; I just want you to note that. And you'll note the A, B, C, D, -- which are broken down. If you look at the scale section you'll see the letters that -- that you can interpret that. I just bring all that to your attention.

And a lot of the pictures don't have any