i	turn to go home. That's if that's correct.		
, 2	Q: But you you can't tell us if you did		
3	that on this day though, can you?		
4	MR. STEPHENSON: Asked and answered, Your		
5	Honor.		
6	THE COURT: Well, can you answer that, sir?		
7	A: It's the way I turn to go home.		
8	THE COURT: Okay.		
9	Q: Thank you, Your Honor.		
10	THE COURT: Any other cross?		
11	Q: No questions.		
12	THE COURT: Re-direct?		
13	MR. STEPHENSON: No, thank you, Your Honor.		
14	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You may step down.		
15	THE COURT: Rebuttal?		
16	MR. BRATT: None, Your Honor.		
. 17	THE COURT: Okay, Counsel approach.		
18	(Counsel approaches the bench.)		
19	Motions?		
20	MR. FORD: On behalf of the Defendant, Your		
21	Honor, I would again move for judgment and I would		
22	adopt the argument that I made at the close of the		
23	Plaintiff's case.		
24	THE COURT: Response.		
25	MR. BRATT: I I'd make the same response,		

1 Your Honor. THE COURT: Are you gonna have a Motion Mr. 2 3 Stephenson? MR. STEPHENSON: I -- I'm gonna let this go to 5 the jury, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, as I said before I'm glad I'm 6 not the tryer of fact cause this really is gonna hang on 7 credibility in my opinion. And I think Mr. Ford you're 8 close but you're -- can't do it. Can't pull the switch 9 10 because of the inferences. So, I think the Verdict Sheet should be done 11 and what I do is I give them several written instructions 12 which are duplicative, oral ones like what negligence is, 13 preponderance, closation and probably contributory will 14 15 be the ones we have here. 16 Any objection to that procedure? 17 MR. BRATT: No, Your Honor. MR. STEPHENSON: No. 18 THE COURT: Motion is denied. 19 20 MR. BRATT: I have one request. 21 THE COURT: What? 22 MR. BRATT: If -- if we could have a brief 23 recess before we close. THE COURT: We're going to get a recess; yeah. 24

Thank you.

MR. BRATT:

1		(Counsel returns to trial tables.)
2		THE COURT: Okay members of the jury. That
3	concludes	the evidentiary portion of the trial. We're
4	gonna take	e a break of about 15 minutes so I can get
5	together t	the jury instructions and the Verdict Sheet.
6	·	So Mr. Swann please show them out.
7		(Jurors are excused at 1:59 p.m.)
8		Okay.
9		THE CLERK: All rise.
10		(Court recesses at 1:59 p.m.)
11		(Court is in session at 2:26 p.m.)
12		THE COURT: Please be seated.
13		Who's our alternate? What number is that?
14		THE CLERK: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
15		THE COURT: The alternate number?
16		THE CLERK: Alternate; Number 30.
17		THE COURT: All right.
18		Thank you.
19		Anything before we bring the jury in?
20		Nope.
21		Let's bring them in.
22		(The jurors return to the courtroom at 2:26
23	p.m.)	
24	ł	Okay, let the record reflect the jury has
25	returned.	

Members of the jury on each of your chairs are
two packets. One is your individual Verdict Sheet. I'd
ask the Foreman not make any stray markings on hers
because that will provided to the Clerk after you've

reached a verdict.

Additionally, there -- there's another packet of I think four pages. Those are written instructions. They're duplicate of my oral instructions. I'm merely giving those to you in written form to cut down on your note taking.

COURT'S INSTRUCTIONS

Now the time has come for the Court to give you it's instructions with respect to the law which is applicable in this case.

You must apply the law as I explain it to you. Any comments I may make about the facts are only to help you and you are not required to agree with them. It is your function and responsibility to decide the facts. You must base your finding only upon the testimony, exhibits received, and the stipulations of the party including any conclusions which may be fairly drawn from that — that evidence.

Opening statements and arguments of the attorneys are not evidence in this case. If your memory of the testimony, of any of the testimony, is different

from any statement that I may make during the course of these instructions or that Counsel may make in argument you must rely on your own memory.

During the course of trial it's been my duty to rule on a number of questions of law such as objections to the admissibility of evidence, the form of questions and other legal points. You should not draw any conclusions from these rulings either as to the merits of the case or as to my views regarding any witness, party, or the case itself.

It is the duty of an attorney to make objections which that attorney believes are proper. You should not be influenced by the fact that these objections were made no matter how I ruled upon them.

You must disregard any evidence which I have order -- ordered stricken. You must consider and decide this case fairly and impartially. All persons including corporations stand equal before the law and are entitled to the same treatment under the law.

You should not be prejudice for or against the person because of that person's race, color, religion, political or social views, wealth or poverty. You should not even consider such matters. The same is true as to prejudice for or against and sympathy for any party.

You should not draw -- or you should conclude

from any conduct or (unintelligible) of mine that I favor one party or another or that I believe or disbelieve the testimony of any witness. You, not I, are the sole judges of believability of witnesses and the weight of the evidence. You must not be influenced in any way by my conduct during the course of the trial.

Now the party who asserts a claim or affirmative defense has the burden of proving it by what we call the preponderance of the evidence. In order to prove something by preponderance of the evidence a party must prove that it is more likely so than not so. In other words, a preponderance of the evidence means such evidence which when considered and compared with the evidence opposed to it has more convincing force and produces in your minds a belief that it is more likely true than not true.

In determining whether a party has met the burden of proof you should consider the quality of all the evidence regardless of who called the witness or introduced the exhibit and regardless of the number of witnesses which one party or the other may have produced.

If you believe that the evidence is evenly balanced on an issue then your finding on that issue must be against the party who has the burden of proving it.

Now, if you look on your Verdict Sheet you'll

count Sections 1 and 2. Those concern the allegations by the Platen that one or both -- of the Defendants were negligent. That burden is on the Plaintiff to prove.

On Question 3 which deals with contributory negligence, each of the Defendants is saying well, if we were negligent so was the Plaintiff. The burden of proving contributory negligence will be on the Defense.

Now any person who testifies including the party is a witness. You're the sole judges of whether testimony should be believed. In making this decision you may apply your own common sense in everyday experiences. In determining whether a witness should be believed you should carefully judge all the testimony and evidence and the circumstances under which each witness has testified.

Among the factors you should consider the following. The witness's behavior on the stand and way of testifying. The witness's opportunity to see or hear the things about which testimony was given. The accuracy of the witness's memory. Did the witness have a motive not to tell the truth? Does the witness have an interest in the outcome of the case? Was the witness's testimony consistent? Was the witness's testimony supported or contradicted by other evidence. And whether and the extent to which the witnesses testimony in the courtroom

differed from statements made by the witness on any previous occasion.

You need not believe any wittiness even though the testimony is uncontradicted. You may believe all, part or none of the testimony of any witness.

Now, negligence is doing something that a person using reasonable care would not do or not doing something that a person using reasonable care would do.

Reasonable care means that caution, attention or skill a reasonable person would use under similar circumstances.

In order for the Plaintiff to recover damages the Defendant's negligent must be a cause of the Plaintiff's injury. There may be more than one cause of an injury. That is several negligent acts may work together. Each person whose negligent act is the cause of an injury is responsible.

The driver of a motor vehicle must use reasonable care. Reasonable care is that degree of caution and attention which a person of ordinary skill and judgment would use under similar circumstances. What constitutes reasonable care depends on the circumstances of a particular case.

A reasonable person changes conduct according to the circumstances and the danger that is known or

would be appreciated by a reasonable person. Therefore, if the foreseeable danger increases a reasonable acts more carefully.

When the driver of a motor vehicle is faced with a sudden and real emergency which was not created by the driver's own conduct, the driver must exercise reasonable care for his or her own safety and for the safety of others.

The reasonableness of the driver's actions must be measured by the standard of the acts of other drivers of ordinary skill and judgment faced with the same situation. A driver is not required to use the same coolness or accuracy of judgment which is required of a person who has an ample opportunity fully to exercise judgment.

Now, the violation of the statute which is a cause of the Plaintiff's injuries is evidence of negligent. In this respect I've taken judicial notice of certain sections of the Transportation Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland.

The first is 21-303(B); the driver of a vehicle overtaking another vehicle that is going in the same direction shall pass to the left of the overtaking vehicle at a safe distance.

Next is Section 21-309(A)(B), on any roadway

that is divided into two or more clearly marked lanes for vehicular traffic, the following rules in addition to any others consistent with them apply. A vehicle shall be driven as nearly as practical entirely within a single lane. It may not be moved from that lane or moved from a shoulder or bikeway into a lane until the driver has determined that it is safe to do so.

And Section 6 or 21604(C); a person may not if another vehicle might be affected by the movement, turn a vehicle until it gives an appropriate signal in the manner required by the subtitle.

And Section 21-801(A)(B); a person may not move a vehicle on a highway at a speed that with regard to the actual and potential dangers existing is more than that which is reasonable and prudent under the conditions. At all times the driver of a vehicle on a highway shall control the speed of the vehicle as necessary to avoid colliding with any person or any vehicle or any other conveyance that in compliance with legal requirements and the duty of all persons to use due care is on or entering the highway.

And then we have Section B of the -- okay, this is 21-801.1(A)(B)4; unless there is a special danger that requires a lower speed to comply with Section 21-801 of the subtitle. The limit specified in this section or

otherwise established under the subtitle are maximum speed limits. A person may not drive a vehicle on a highway at a speed that exceeds these limits except as otherwise provided in the section the maximum speed limits are 50 miles and hour on undivided highways in other locations.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now a Plaintiff cannot recover if the Plaintiff's negligence is a cause of the injury. Plaintiff has the burden of proving by preponderance of the evidence that the Plaintiff's negligent was the cause of the Plaintiff's injury.

An employer or a principle is responsible for injuries or damages caused to others by acts of employees or agents if the acts causing the injuries or damages were within the scope of employment. The Defendant's are -- the Defendant's suit as employer to employee.

Now in this case we have the Defendant who is Mr. -- Danny Quade but he's technically not a Defendant. But it has been stipulated that he was an employee of Baltimore Tank Lines at the time of the alleged collision. So the employer is responsible if you find that Mr. Quade was negligent and his negligence was the cause of the injury.

In this case it will be your duty to return your verdict in the form of written questions to the

0000000-150

written -- or written answers to the written questions 1 which are submitted to you by the Court. Your answers 2 will constitute your verdict. Each answer is to be 3 written in the space provided after each question. 4 Before making each answer all of you must agree 5 upon it. In other words your verdict must be unanimous. 6 It is your duty to answer each of these questions in 7 accordance with the evidence in this case. 8 9 Counsel approach please. (Counsel approaches the bench.) 10 Is the Plaintiff satisfied? 11 MR. BRATT: No, Your Honor. I have one issue. 12 THE COURT: What? 13 MR. BRATT: I -- I think the Court mixed the 14 words up on the contrib instruction. I think what Your 15 Honor said was the Plaintiff has the burden to -- to 16 17 prove whether the Plaintiff's negligence was a cause of 18 the injury. Didn't I say the Defendant? 19 THE COURT: MR. BRATT: No. 20 THE COURT: Okay, I've given that one to them 21 in writing but I'll correct it again. 22 MR. BRATT: Thank you, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: I'm sorry about that. 24 25 MR. FORD: Nothing.

0000000-152

THE COURT: Defense. 1 MR. STEPHENSON: (Inaudible.) 2 (Counsel returns to trial tables.) 3 Okay, members of the jury, when I gave you the instruction on contributory negligence which is also 5 covered in the written instructions, it's the Defendant 6 has the burden of proving by preponderance of the 7 evidence that the Plaintiff's negligent was the cause of 8 an injury. 9 If I said it was the Plaintiff's burden I 10 11 apologize. Now, at the end of all argument I'll have some 12 housekeeping instructions for the jury. 13 Please proceed. 14 MR. BRATT: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 CLOSING ARGUMENTS 16 BY MR. BRATT: 17 Good afternoon. 18 You can make an accident sound pretty 19 complicated if you try really hard, right? I don't 20 really think this is one of those situations. I don't 21 think this is a complicated accident. I don't think that 22 you should think this was a complicated accident. 23 You've heard exactly one version of how this 24 accident happened today. The only person who said 25