NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT

December 14, 2022

Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP
60 South Sixth Street, Suite 3100
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
United States of America

Ben Hulse
Partner
Direct line +1 612 321 2272
ben.hulse@nortonrosefulbright.com

Tel +1 612 321 2800
Fax +1 612 321 2288
nortonrosefulbright.com

The Hon. Joan N. Ericksen
District Judge, District of Minnesota
United States District Court
12W U.S. Courthouse
300 South Fourth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55415

Re: ***In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation***
MDL No. 15-2666-JNE-DTS

Dear Judge Ericksen:

I write on behalf of 3M to update the Court and propose a process for advancing the MDL toward resolution.

The Court-ordered mediation demonstrated that the two sides still have very different views of this docket and its prospects. From 3M's standpoint, the docket is largely filled with insubstantial cases and that plaintiffs will rarely, if ever, be able to prove specific causation at trial – if they can make it to trial. That view was confirmed when Plaintiffs or the Court dismissed 12 out of 14 of the initial MDL bellwether cases and the jury returned a quick verdict in favor of 3M in the single bellwether to be tried.[1] The Eighth Circuit's decision affirming the *Gareis* verdict emphasized the weakness of plaintiffs' individual cases, specifically noting the unusual difficulties for plaintiffs in proving specific causation given both other sources of bacteria, and heat and airflow in a typical operating room.[2] The view of Plaintiffs' leadership – also expressed in their appellate briefing in *Gareis* – is that this Court improperly limited corporate-conduct evidence—evidence that, even if

[1] One bellwether case, *Trombley*, remained at the time this Court granted MDL-wide summary judgment.

[2] For example, the Eighth Circuit noted:

> [T]he jury heard extensive testimony about the "many different ways" the bacteria causing [the plaintiff's] PJI may have entered the site of his hip implant, resulting in his PJI, besides use of the Bair Hugger during his surgery. These include bacteria on and in his own skin that could have entered the implant site via the surgical incision; bacteria on surgical staples used during his surgery that could have entered the implant site; bacteria shed from the skin of any one of the medical personnel in his operating room during the surgery that could have reached the implant site regardless of the Bair Hugger's use during his surgery; bacteria transmitted to the implant site by medical personnel's direct contact with it; bacteria in drainage from his wound after the surgery that could have leaked into the implant site; bacteria in his bloodstream obtained from an exposure other than his operation that could have traveled to and infected the implant site; and bacteria from a hip injection he received after his surgery.

Gareis v. 3M Co., 9 F.4th 812, 817 (8th Cir. 2021).

admitted, provides no help to plaintiffs on individual causation. As the Eighth Circuit held, however, the jury verdict was independently supported by the evidence of alternative causation.

The months following the mediation with Judge Boylan have invalidated Plaintiffs' theory that they can overcome specific-causation difficulties with additional corporate conduct evidence. In September and early October, the parties tried the *O'Haver* case in Jackson County, Missouri. This was a case Plaintiffs' leadership handpicked for trial. Following *Gareis*, and with the cooperation of the Missouri lawyers involved in *Axline*, they filed several cases in Missouri state court with various configurations of non-diverse defendants: local 3M sales representatives, local medical providers, or both, to defeat removal. In remand briefing in *O'Haver*, Plaintiffs promised this Court that their malpractice claims against the non-diverse providers were substantial, but that promise was never fulfilled or even attempted, so far as 3M can tell. Plaintiffs never disclosed expert reports finding medical malpractice by the providers and dismissed the providers following their disclosures.

O'Haver was the trial that Plaintiffs' leadership have long wanted and, indeed, four lawyers from the MDL leadership had substantial roles in front of the jury. Plaintiffs were permitted to introduce 3M corporate documents and advance their "dirty machine" causation theory in addition to their airflow-disruption theory, and Plaintiffs sought millions of dollars in compensatory damages and suggested $1 to 2 *billion* in punitive damages. The *O'Haver* court significantly limited 3M's presentation of evidence about Augustine's role and barred 3M from telling the jury about the 2017 FDA letter. In spite of getting to do essentially everything the Plaintiffs' leadership wanted to do – and with none of the (proper) constraints imposed by this Court, the jury was out for under three hours before returning a verdict for 3M, in less time than the parties spent on closings. Plaintiffs may now discount Ms. O'Haver as a weak plaintiff, but her case was the case that Plaintiffs chose for their first post-*Gareis* showdown, and the case that they unquestionably thought would turn the tide. Her case is no less problematic from a specific-causation standpoint than any of their cases.

Only a handful of cases are pending in state court. 99.9 percent of Bair Hugger cases are pending in this MDL. There are some other significant data points to consider. Since the Eighth Circuit's decision reinstating the MDL in summer 2021, the MDL has actually *gotten smaller*. More plaintiffs have dismissed cases than have filed them. While Plaintiffs' leadership claims approximately 2,800 unfiled cases, few have been filed to date – and statutes of limitations continue to run.

Proposed Procedures Following Resumption of the MDL

While 99.9 percent of the filed Bair Hugger cases are pending in federal court, the parties have tried just one federal bellwether. It would be highly unusual to remand to the transferor courts without first trying three or four more bellwethers in the MDL, and remanding these cases would not further Section 1407's goal of "just and efficient conduct" of the actions here.

Most significantly, Plaintiffs have not demonstrated that the overwhelming majority of the docket is fit for remand. If the experience of the prior two rounds of bellwether selection tells us anything, it is that most cases will be dismissed once the parties start to work them up. The plaintiff may fail to cooperate in discovery, medical records may fail to corroborate Bair Hugger use or may highlight an obvious alternative cause of infection, or an insurmountable legal hurdle will present itself (as occurred in *Partlow* and *Axline*). The poor track record of plaintiffs in the prior bellwether

rounds suggests the need for a robust effort to separate the non-triable chaff from the triable wheat. Such a separation, based on plaintiffs' stated views so far, is necessary for serious resolution efforts.[3]

To this end, 3M proposes as follows:

1. **Updating PFSs.** All plaintiff fact sheets should be supplemented within 60 days, and plaintiffs should produce additional medical records in their possession that have not previously been produced. This will help confirm not only that PFSs are updated to reflect developments in the last several years, but also that each plaintiff remains willing and able to prosecute her or his case. This will help identify the (probably significant) number of deceased plaintiffs in the docket, so that there can either be substitutions or dismissals.

2. **Up-front medical causation reports.** A sample of 250 cases should be worked up, both as a test of the strength of the docket and for the purpose of selecting an additional group of bellwether trials. The cases would be taken from the approximately 50 percent of the docket that either has designated the District of Minnesota as the transferor court in the short-form complaint or executed a *Lexecon* waiver. The Eighth Circuit's *Gareis* decision underscores the challenge for plaintiffs in proving specific causation. 3M proposes that Plaintiffs disclose specific-causation expert reports in each of these cases within 60 days of selection of the pool of 250 cases; those plaintiffs who do not disclose specific-causation expert reports should be dismissed. We discuss below the legal basis for this request.

3. **Wave discovery.** For those cases remaining in the pool of 250, the parties will then conduct "wave discovery" over the course of 6 months, somewhat similar to the process that has been used in the 3M Combat Arms MDL – to depose plaintiffs and medical providers, and then allow 3M to disclose rebuttal specific-causation reports. (Ex. A, Combat Arms Wave Order.)

4. **Expedited summary judgment briefing.** The parties would then conduct expedited summary judgment briefing on any cases that remain, briefing common issues together. This is also akin to the process used to work through large tranches of cases in the Combat Arms MDL.

5. **Bellwether trials.** The parties would then try three bellwether cases beginning in 2024. This process will tell us what percentage of plaintiffs have triable claims, and then will tell us whether plaintiffs can win a federal case. What better information could be had for further continued settlement discussions? Given the large number of cases that will remain in the District of Minnesota, these cases should continue to be tried here.

[3] 3M expects that Plaintiffs will ask this Court to suggest remand for all 5,200 cases. It is true that there is little, if any, general (non-case-specific) discovery that remains to be done. 3M supplemented its document production through the *O'Haver* case, and that additional production is in the hands of Plaintiffs' leadership for use in the MDL. Plaintiffs also took new corporate representative depositions and re-deposed Dr. Augustine. But for the reasons discussed in this letter, suggesting remand would be premature. Also, based on a sample done in the last bellwether selection process, 3M estimates that about 1,200 cases directly filed in the MDL designate this district as the proper venue upon remand.

6. **Second round.** A second round of 250 cases would proceed through the same process outlined above, partially overlapping with the first process. This group of cases will be designated for remand and trial in transferor courts.

7. **Continued mediation.** 3M believes that the parties should continue to revisit settlement regularly as they go through the process outlined above. The parties will rapidly learn more about the docket, the viability of the cases, and the strength of those that make it through the discovery process. We suggest a monthly meeting with Judge Boylan or his successor.

Authority for Requiring Early Disclosure of 26.01 Reports

The Eighth Circuit's opinion in *Gareis* highlights the particular difficulties plaintiffs will have in proving specific causation in each and every case, given the many potential sources of bacteria, airflow, and heat in the operating room during an orthopedic surgery. With general causation discovery now behind us, the Court should strongly consider a case management order that requires plaintiffs to establish specific causation through expert testimony up front, rather than after the parties expend time and resources on other case-specific discovery. This will allow the parties to focus bellwether selection on the cases that have the potential to "go the distance."

Rather than requesting application to the entire docket, 3M proposes a "test run" where the early-expert-disclosure requirement would apply only to an initial group of 250 cases.

This Court has broad discretion to fashion a case management order tailored to the unique needs and challenges of this MDL. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(c)(2)(L) (court has the authority to adopt "special procedures for managing potentially difficult or protracted actions that may involve complex issues, multiple parties, difficult legal questions, or unusual proof problems"). A case management order that requires a threshold evidentiary showing is one such procedure used in medical mass tort actions. *See*, *e.g.*, *In re Fosamax Prods. Liab. Litig.*, No. 06 MD 1789, 2012 WL 5877418, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 20, 2012) ("With increasing frequency, courts overseeing complex pharmaceutical MDLs are using *Lone Pine* orders to streamline the docket."); *McManaway v. KBR, Inc.*, 265 F.R.D. 384, 385 (S.D. Ind. 2009) (*Lone Pine* orders are authorized under Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure). "The basic purpose of a *Lone Pine* order is to identify and cull potentially meritless claims and streamline litigation in complex cases." *McManaway*, 265 F.R.D. at 385.

Orders like the one 3M proposes are a fair and effective tool in weeding out spurious claims because they merely require plaintiffs "to produce information they should already have." *In re Fosamax*, 2012 WL 5877418, at *2-3; *see also McManaway* at 387 ("[T]he individual plaintiffs should not have had any difficulty producing some concrete, factual basis to support their claims. The court opined that '[i]f a plaintiff is unable to do this then the court should be concerned with the viability of plaintiff's claims.'") (internal citation omitted); *In re Avandia Mktg., Sales Practices and Prods. Liab. Litig.*, MDL No. 1871, 2010 WL 4720335, at *1 (E.D. Pa. Nov. 15, 2010) (a *Lone Pine* order "merely requires information which plaintiffs and their counsel should have possessed before filing their claims"); *Acuna v. Brown & Root Inc.*, 200 F.3d 335, 340 (5th Cir. 2000) (*Lone Pine* order "essentially required that information which plaintiffs should have had before filing their claims pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(b)(3)").

Fosamax is particularly instructive. There, like here, the court resisted defendants' early requests for a sorting order. *See id.* at *1. More than two years after defendant's initial request, however, and after significant discovery had occurred, the court granted defendant's renewed request and required that plaintiffs provide facts and materials in support of their claims through expert reports. *See id.* at *1, 4-5 (rejecting argument by plaintiffs' steering committee that the MDL had run its course and should be concluded). In reaching its decision, the court noted the considerable discovery that had taken place, as well as plaintiffs' habit of dismissing cases before they could be tried but after the parties had spent significant time and money on case-specific discovery:

> First, during its six years in this Court, this MDL has comprised some 1,000 cases. During targeted discovery, [defendant] produced over 11 million pages of documents and submitted 24 company witnesses to deposition. Additionally, the parties have conducted extensive fact discovery on the 12 cases that were selected for trial. The parties – and the Court – are intimately familiar with the discovery in this MDL. Accordingly, a *Lone Pine* order would impose a minimal burden on plaintiffs, as it merely asks them to produce information they should already have
>
> Second, given that cases are more likely to result in dismissal once discovery focuses on issues related to causation, the Court has reason to believe that spurious or meritless cases are lurking in the some 1,000 cases on the MDL docket. As [defendant] points out, more than 50% of the cases set for trial have been dismissed, and some 31% of the cases that have been selected for discovery have been dismissed. Plaintiffs' habit of dismissing cases after both parties have expended time and money on case specific discovery demonstrates that this MDL is ripe for a *Lone Pine* order.
>
> Third, whether this MDL culminates in a global or partial settlement, or the remand of cases back to their home districts, a *Lone Pine* order will boost efficiency. In the event the parties reach a settlement, the elimination of spurious claims will ensure that only plaintiffs with meritorious cases are compensated. If the MDL concludes without settlement, and cases are transferred back to their home districts, *Lone Pine* will ensure that the home districts receive only viable cases.

Id. at *2-3. The same factors that supported the entry of the order in *Fosamax* support the entry of such an order here.

Similarly, a *Lone Pine*-type order recently was entered four years into discovery in *In re Zostavax (Zoster Vaccine Live) Prods. Liab. Litig.*, MDL No. 2848. The plaintiffs claimed that Merck's Zostavax vaccine caused them to develop shingles, but shingles can also be caused by the "wild-type" virus already present in the body due to having contracted chickenpox earlier in life. (This is like periprosthetic joint infections, which occur regardless of the use of the Bair Hugger system in an orthopedic surgery.) Starting with a subset of the plaintiffs, Judge Bartle allowed 90 days for them to "come forward with prima facie evidence, either through laboratory reports or other records, that can support the claim that Zostavax caused [each plaintiff's] shingles rather than the wild-type virus." When these plaintiffs failed to do so, Judge Bartle granted Merck's motion to dismiss their claims pursuant to Rule 41(b). *Id.*, 2022 WL 17477553 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 6, 2022).

When 3M proposed a similar approach at the very outset of this litigation, the Court rejected it as premature, noting that entry of a sorting order is "a very important decision, and it can be unfair to make that decision before there's been reciprocal discovery." (Feb. 18, 2016 Status Conf. Tr. at 48:13-18.) These proceedings are now at a point where all that remains is for Plaintiffs to come forward with a reliable method by which the Bair Hugger system can be isolated as the cause of any particular plaintiff's infection, particularly those plaintiffs who allege an infection caused by commensal bacteria, beyond simply pointing to the fact that a Bair Hugger system was used. After all, 1-2% of orthopedic surgeries result in infections, regardless of Bair Hugger usage. *See Lash v. Eastern Michael Hollis*, 525 F.3d 636, 640 (8th Cir. 2008) (denying motion for new trial because causation was not established, observing "the physician offered no explanation of a differential diagnosis or other scientific methodology tending to show that the Taser shocks were a more likely causes than the myriad other possible causes suggested by the evidence.").

It is not enough for Plaintiffs to simply offer different versions of the same expert report claiming that a patient's medical records do not reflect a documented break in the sterile field (the medical records certainly do not reflect that the Bair Hugger system is the source of any infection), and thus blame the Bair Hugger system in any surgery where it was used. *See McManaway* at 387 (plaintiffs could not comply with *Lone Pine* order by submitting "one generic expert report for each individual plaintiff that opined that there were a series of illnesses and effects that can occur from uranium exposure and that each plaintiff suffered from sole or all of these illnesses, and that the individual plaintiffs had suffered from significant doses of exposure to uranium."); *see also Bland v. Verizon Wireless*, 538 F.3d 893, 897 (8th Cir. 2008) ("Where the cause of the condition is unknown in the majority of cases, Dr. Sprince cannot properly conclude, based upon a differential diagnosis, Bland's exposure to Freon was 'the most probably cause' of Bland's exercise-induced asthma."). Plaintiffs need to do more – at a minimum a proper differential etiology – and a sorting order will allow the parties and the Court to weed out those cases where they cannot.

We look forward to discussing these proposals with Your Honor and Judge Schultz.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse
BWH:ck

cc: The Honorable David T. Schultz

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
PENSACOLA DIVISION

IN RE: 3M COMBAT ARMS EARPLUG PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION This Document Relates to All Cases Identified in Exhibit A	Case No. 3:19md2885 Judge M. Casey Rodgers Magistrate Judge Gary R. Jones

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 31
(Wave Order #1)

Due to the unprecedented backlog of cases piling up on the administrative and active dockets, which now tallies over 280,000 cases, the Court deemed it necessary to accelerate the discovery for the remaining mass of cases. On August 20, 2021, the Court entered the first in a series of orders requiring that Plaintiffs transition cases from the administrative docket to the 3M MDL docket, i.e. the "active" docket. As cases are transitioned to the active docket, the parties will be required to work up several hundred cases simultaneously in waves. The first three "Wave Orders" will include approximately 500 cases per wave, and will consist of cases for which VA and DoD information/data has been requested and/or received. A new Wave Order will be entered every 3 months. The cases found in Exhibit A represent the first "wave" of cases.

I. SCHEDULING DEADLINES

1. **Records Production**. Within 21 days of this Order, and to the extent not already produced, Plaintiffs must produce to Defendants, through MDL Centrality, any responsive VA, DoD, and/or medical records in Plaintiff's position, custody, or control.

2. **Discovery Requests**. Both sides must serve their Requests for Production of documents ("RFPs"), Requests for Interrogatories ("ROGs"), and Requests for Admissions ("RFAs") within 45 days of this Order through MDL Centrality. Responses to RFPs, ROGs, and RFAs are due within 30 days of receipt.

3. **Initial Disclosures**. "Initial disclosures," as defined by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1), must be submitted by Plaintiffs through MDL Centrality at least 10 days prior the respective Plaintiff's deposition. Additionally, within 21 days of this Order, Defendants must disclose through MDL Centrality any insurance agreement under which an insurance business may be liable to satisfy all or part of a possible judgement in the action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy the judgement. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(A)(iv). This includes any insurance agreement providing excess insurance coverage.

4. **Defense Medical Exam Reports.** Defense Medical Exam ("DME") reports are due 14 days prior to a Plaintiff's expert disclosure deadline or within 7 days of Defendants' receiving the results of DME testing, whichever date is earlier.

5. **Deposition Deadlines**.

    a. Plaintiff depositions must be taken within 105 days of this Order;

    b. Fact depositions must be taken within 130 days of this Order; and

    c. All expert depositions must be taken within 35 days of a Plaintiff's rebuttal expert report.

6. **Fact discovery**. Fact discovery must be completed within 130 days of this Order.

7. **Expert Disclosure Deadlines**.

    a. Plaintiff's expert disclosures must be served through MDL Centrality within 8 days following the close of fact discovery; and

    b. Defendants' expert disclosures must be served through MDL Centrality 30 days after a Plaintiff's expert disclosures are served.

    c. When serving an expert disclosure, to the extent practicable, the serving party must provide two potential dates for the expert's deposition. All dates for a Plaintiff's expert must fall after the deadline for the Plaintiff's rebuttal expert disclosure to the extent the expert serves a rebuttal report.

8. **Rebuttal Expert Disclosure**. A rebuttal expert disclosure must be served through MDL Centrality within 10 days of Defendants' expert disclosure.

9. **Motions Deadlines**.

   a. *Daubert* motions and dispositive motions must be filed within 10 days of the close of expert discovery/depositions.

   b. Responses to *Daubert* and dispositive motions must be filed within 19 days of a motion being filed. Replies are permitted only at the Court's request.

   c. Choice of Law motions, on a disputed issue, must be filed within 10 days of the close of expert discovery/depositions.

10. **Hearing Dates**. Hearing dates for *Daubert* motions, dispositive motions, and Choice of Law motions, if any, will be set at a future status conference.

11. **Deadlines**. To the extent one of the aforementioned deadlines falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or federal holiday, that deadline will be extended to the next subsequent weekday.

II. LIMITATIONS ON INTERROGATORIES, REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS, AND DEPOSITIONS

1. **Depositions**. The following limitations apply:

   a. Plaintiffs are limited to 4 depositions per case, and Defendants are limited to 5 depositions per case.[1] Parties must seek leave of the Court prior to taking any additional depositions, including non-case specific

[1] There will be no depositions taken after the close of discovery.

corporate and/or government witness depositions, which are not contemplated by this Order.

b. Depositions will be limited to 3 hours total, with each side allocated 1.5 hours per deposition, with the exceptions noted below:

   i. Defendants will have 3.5 hours for a Plaintiff's deposition. If Plaintiff's counsel asks questions during the deposition, it must be in addition to the 3.5 hours allocated to Defendants. Additionally, should Plaintiff's counsel ask questions during the deposition, Defendants will be permitted half of the time used by the Plaintiff's counsel to conduct any follow-up questions. Defendants are not required to reserve any time from the original 3.5 hours permitted for follow-up questioning.

   ii. For depositions of a Plaintiff's spouse/significant other, Defendants will have 2 hours per deposition and Plaintiff's counsel will have 1 hour per deposition.

c. The noticing party is entitled to ask questions first. For dual noticed depositions, the parties must alternate or otherwise agree on the order for questioning. A deposition only counts against a party's allotment if noticed by that party. The requirement of equal time, however, applies

to all depositions regardless of which party notices the depositions (subjection to the exceptions above in 1(b)).

d. For all depositions, except a Plaintiff's deposition, there is a presumption that depositions are "fully remote" absent an agreement between the parties or order of the Court. The parties reserve the right to take a Plaintiff's deposition in person. For all other depositions, if a witness wants their counsel present in person for the deposition, the defending party's counsel must notify the examining party's counsel within 7 days of the deposition.

2. **Written Discovery**. The following limitations apply:

a. Both sides are limited to 10 RFAs and 10 RFPs per case.

b. Both sides are limited to 15 ROGs per case; however, this number may consist of no more than 5 "unique" interrogatories and no more than 10 "standard" interrogatories that are common to all Plaintiffs.

c. Insofar as multiple Plaintiffs utilize the same general causation experts, the parties are required to coordinate their depositions.

d. The Court also encourages the coordination of depositions for specific causation experts to the extent there is overlap in the parties' use of specific causation experts for multiple Plaintiffs.

e. The Court will consider modifications to the above limitations only on a showing of extraordinary cause.

3. **DMEs**. Defendants must provide Plaintiff's counsel with a list of the tests to be performed at a DME at the same time Defendants make the request for the DME. Within 10 days of this Order, the parties must meet and confer regarding the protocols outlined in Pretrial Order No. 56, which applied for the bellwether cases, and alert the Court as to whether these same protocols should be adopted for the wave cases or a modified order should de entered. ECF No. 1477.

4. **Electrically Stored Information ("ESI")**. The parties ESI obligations will be governed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 34. Within 10 days of this Order, the parties must meet and confer regarding the protocols outlined in Pretrial Order No. 10 and Pretrial Order No. 42, which applied for the bellwether cases, and alert the Court as to whether these same protocols should be adopted for the wave cases or a modified order should be entered. ECF Nos. 443 and 1172.

III. MOTIONS PRACTICE

1. **Early Dispositive Motions**. If discovery reveals facts that could support a motion that would be dispositive of the *entirety* of a plaintiff's claims (e.g., statute of limitations) or a Defendants' affirmative defense, a party may seek the Court's leave in the individual case to file an early dispositive motion on

that issue. If leave is granted, the Court will set a briefing schedule at that time.

2. **Page Limitations**. Generally, the page limitations provided in N.D. Fla. Loc. R. 7.1(F) apply to memoranda in support of all dispositive and *Daubert* motions, oppositions. The Court may modify these limitations at a later date by separate order.

3. **Confidential Documents**. The Court recently modified sealing protocols, requiring the parties to seek leave of Court prior to filing a motion, exhibit, or document under seal. ECF No. 2236. To the extent a motion, exhibit, or document contains limited Privacy Act information, the parties are ordered to redact that information and file the motion, exhibit, or document on the public docket. *See id.* In the event a party wishes to file motions, exhibits, or documents under seal, the party is directed to submit a consolidated motion to seal on or before 21 days prior to the *Daubert* motions and dispositive motions deadline. Any response is due 10 days later.

IV. VENUE

1. **Venue Recommendation**. Parties must meet and confer within 180 days of this Order concerning the appropriate venue for each of the cases. The parties must submit a joint venue recommendation to the Court within 200 days of this Order. The parties' joint recommendation(s) must also identify the cases

in which the recommended venue is in dispute. The Court may then request briefing concerning the venue for those cases in which the parties disagree. Each party reserves the right to object to the venue selected by its adversary or the Court.

2. **Lexecon Waiver**. To the extent the parties intend to waive venue in any case, they must meet and confer on the issue and notify the Court. *See Lexecon Inc. v. Milberg Weiss Bershad Hynes & Lerach*, 523 U.S. 26 (1998).

DONE and **ORDERED** on this 22nd day of November, 2021.

M. Casey Rodgers

M. CASEY RODGERS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

EXHIBIT A

IN RE: 3M COMBAT ARMS EARPLUG PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION
CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 31 (Wave Order #1)
EXHIBIT A

Row	Plaintiff ID	Plaintiff Name	Law Firm Name	Active Docket Case Number
1.	116411	BEAMON, NINA	Abraham, Watkins, Nichols, Sorrels, Agosto & Aziz	8:20-cv-30515-MCR-GRJ
2.	116592	Lawyer, Todd Stephen	Abraham, Watkins, Nichols, Sorrels, Agosto & Aziz	8:20-cv-30743-MCR-GRJ
3.	116738	KNIGHT, ALEXANDER S	Abraham, Watkins, Nichols, Sorrels, Agosto & Aziz	8:20-cv-30991-MCR-GRJ
4.	116942	Haugland, Gabriel Michael	Abraham, Watkins, Nichols, Sorrels, Agosto & Aziz	8:20-cv-31043-MCR-GRJ
5.	116958	Torres Mantilla, Misael	Abraham, Watkins, Nichols, Sorrels, Agosto & Aziz	8:20-cv-31071-MCR-GRJ
6.	147904	MURTHA, NICHOLAS	Allen & Nolte, PLLC	8:20-cv-40685-MCR-GRJ
7.	9686	Shumate, John	Anapol Weiss	7:20-cv-48781-MCR-GRJ
8.	60126	Acteson, Jon	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-09401-MCR-GRJ
9.	60151	Aikman, Sean	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-09425-MCR-GRJ
10.	60259	Ankrum, Lloyd	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-09529-MCR-GRJ
11.	60473	BELFORD, JASON M.	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-09738-MCR-GRJ
12.	60762	Brugger, David	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-10622-MCR-GRJ
13.	61045	CLARENCE, JACOB	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-13428-MCR-GRJ
14.	61110	Coleman, Robert Daniel	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-13551-MCR-GRJ
15.	61311	DANIELS, BILLY	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-14285-MCR-GRJ
16.	61313	Daniels, Jason Thomas	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-14286-MCR-GRJ
17.	61540	Dunker, Daniel	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-15315-MCR-GRJ
18.	61797	Ford, Marteze Edward	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-11278-MCR-GRJ
19.	61844	Frei, Nathan	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-11506-MCR-GRJ
20.	61949	Gentner, John M.	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-11424-MCR-GRJ
21.	62010	Goffinett, Joel Edward	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-11591-MCR-GRJ
22.	62277	Harris, Jeremy	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-11833-MCR-GRJ
23.	62307	Haskamp, Dennis	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-11900-MCR-GRJ
24.	62332	Hazen, Adam T.	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-11879-MCR-GRJ
25.	62509	Hopson, Lakobi Keon	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-12063-MCR-GRJ
26.	62629	Ino, Ututoa	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-12207-MCR-GRJ
27.	62686	James, Joseph	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-12243-MCR-GRJ
28.	62851	Jurich, Eric	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-13643-MCR-GRJ
29.	62923	Keough, Keith	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-14576-MCR-GRJ
30.	62995	Klotz, Chad	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-15014-MCR-GRJ
31.	63310	Luzadder, Anthony Lee	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-18312-MCR-GRJ
32.	63363	Malikai, Sebastian A.	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-18386-MCR-GRJ
33.	63394	Markel, Kyle A.	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-18488-MCR-GRJ
34.	63446	Martinez, Jewel S.	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-18596-MCR-GRJ
35.	63471	Mathews, Dirk	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-18610-MCR-GRJ
36.	63957	Noble, Joshua	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-12555-MCR-GRJ
37.	64011	Oldani, Ronald Chris	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-12731-MCR-GRJ
38.	64022	Olson, Sean	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-12655-MCR-GRJ
39.	64078	Panaitov, Gloria E.	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-12722-MCR-GRJ
40.	64102	Parshall, Robert	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-12817-MCR-GRJ
41.	64106	Parsons, James	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-12830-MCR-GRJ
42.	64501	Rios Cortes, Josue Julian	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-13339-MCR-GRJ
43.	64605	Roller, Jason	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-13671-MCR-GRJ
44.	65225	Tacker, Paul James	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-14629-MCR-GRJ
45.	65288	Theriot, Robert	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-14832-MCR-GRJ
46.	65489	Vejarano, David	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-15495-MCR-GRJ
47.	65564	Walnofer, Eric	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-15651-MCR-GRJ
48.	65778	Williams, Tyrone	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-15864-MCR-GRJ
49.	65843	Wolowicz, Eric D.	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-15985-MCR-GRJ
50.	65925	Yruegas, Joseph	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-16000-MCR-GRJ
51.	98050	Baca, Crusito	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-23413-MCR-GRJ
52.	98769	Barringer, Charles	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-25462-MCR-GRJ
53.	99355	Moore, Andrea Michelle	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-25622-MCR-GRJ
54.	99658	Simpson, Taylor	Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC	7:20-cv-24656-MCR-GRJ
55.	66365	Johnson, Charles	Bailey Cowan Heckaman PLLC	7:20-cv-54706-MCR-GRJ
56.	66561	Revelle, Charles	Bailey Cowan Heckaman PLLC	7:20-cv-56244-MCR-GRJ
57.	66600	Sayre, Jacob	Bailey Cowan Heckaman PLLC	7:20-cv-48946-MCR-GRJ
58.	66671	Thomas, Ryne	Bailey Cowan Heckaman PLLC	7:20-cv-49130-MCR-GRJ
59.	66703	Wallace, Dax	Bailey Cowan Heckaman PLLC	7:20-cv-49224-MCR-GRJ
60.	66727	KAISER, WILLIAM	Bailey Cowan Heckaman PLLC	7:20-cv-49295-MCR-GRJ
61.	117383	Frame, Bradley Dustin	Baron & Budd	7:20-cv-87802-MCR-GRJ
62.	117794	McKenzie, Stanford Lloyd	Baron & Budd	8:20-cv-00231-MCR-GRJ
63.	117922	OWINGS, JACOB	Baron & Budd	8:20-cv-00446-MCR-GRJ

Row	Plaintiff ID	Plaintiff Name	Law Firm Name	Active Docket Case Number
64.	117981	Polite, Julius	Baron & Budd	8:20-cv-00544-MCR-GRJ
65.	118045	Rivera, Thomas Joseph	Baron & Budd	8:20-cv-00691-MCR-GRJ
66.	118118	Scheiner, Matthew Justin	Baron & Budd	8:20-cv-00560-MCR-GRJ
67.	118197	Stachowski, Rafal	Baron & Budd	8:20-cv-00815-MCR-GRJ
68.	139301	SAPP, BRIAN	Beasley Allen	3:19-cv-02521-MCR-GRJ
69.	9867	Smith, George R.	Berniard Law LLC	8:20-cv-33772-MCR-GRJ
70.	101889	Edwards, Jennifer	Bertram & Graf, L.L.C.	7:20-cv-45564-MCR-GRJ
71.	102104	Moreno, Abram	Bertram & Graf, L.L.C.	7:20-cv-46304-MCR-GRJ
72.	102107	Morton, David	Bertram & Graf, L.L.C.	7:20-cv-46311-MCR-GRJ
73.	102253	Warner, Steven	Bertram & Graf, L.L.C.	7:20-cv-46676-MCR-GRJ
74.	50181	AUTRY, DONALD	Bohrer Brady LLC	8:20-cv-09779-MCR-GRJ
75.	68277	WAACK, DAVID	Brent Coon & Associates	7:20-cv-71479-MCR-GRJ
76.	68678	Douglas, Michael	Brent Coon & Associates	7:20-cv-71875-MCR-GRJ
77.	135953	Wempe, Robert	Burnett Law Firm	7:20-cv-42022-MCR-GRJ
78.	69020	BAKER, SETH	Cannon Law	8:20-cv-17172-MCR-GRJ
79.	78958	Washington, Joseph	Carey Danis & Lowe	7:20-cv-50199-MCR-GRJ
80.	42947	HELLIN, ROBERT	Clark, Love & Hutson PLLC	3:19-cv-02395-MCR-GRJ
81.	42949	BLIMKA, CHRISTOPHER	Clark, Love & Hutson PLLC	7:20-cv-06868-MCR-GRJ
82.	43022	SEMAN, DOMINIC	Clark, Love & Hutson PLLC	3:19-cv-00819-MCR-GRJ
83.	43042	SWARTOUT, PATRICK	Clark, Love & Hutson PLLC	7:20-cv-07037-MCR-GRJ
84.	88650	Hollington, Daniel	Clark, Love & Hutson PLLC	7:20-cv-19450-MCR-GRJ
85.	88737	Tharpe, Herman	Clark, Love & Hutson PLLC	7:20-cv-19501-MCR-GRJ
86.	88749	Aparicio, John	Clark, Love & Hutson PLLC	7:20-cv-19528-MCR-GRJ
87.	88757	Breland, Larry	Clark, Love & Hutson PLLC	7:20-cv-19541-MCR-GRJ
88.	88785	Guidry, Amy A	Clark, Love & Hutson PLLC	7:20-cv-19672-MCR-GRJ
89.	88988	Mitsada, Phetsamone	Clark, Love & Hutson PLLC	7:20-cv-19825-MCR-GRJ
90.	89056	Domke, Ryan N	Clark, Love & Hutson PLLC	7:20-cv-19984-MCR-GRJ
91.	89111	Generally, Stefan	Clark, Love & Hutson PLLC	7:20-cv-20077-MCR-GRJ
92.	89357	Fridgen, Michael	Clark, Love & Hutson PLLC	7:20-cv-20498-MCR-GRJ
93.	89937	Pressley, Riston	Clark, Love & Hutson PLLC	7:20-cv-21946-MCR-GRJ
94.	90019	BAILEY, JAMES	Clark, Love & Hutson PLLC	7:20-cv-22111-MCR-GRJ
95.	90122	Duchesneau, Neil	Clark, Love & Hutson PLLC	7:20-cv-22299-MCR-GRJ
96.	90357	Shiraef, Joshua	Clark, Love & Hutson PLLC	7:20-cv-23237-MCR-GRJ
97.	90600	MEDLEY, BRIAN L	Clark, Love & Hutson PLLC	7:20-cv-20730-MCR-GRJ
98.	90672	Smith, MuShawn D	Clark, Love & Hutson PLLC	7:20-cv-20902-MCR-GRJ
99.	90686	Shenian, Jonathan S	Clark, Love & Hutson PLLC	7:20-cv-20925-MCR-GRJ
100.	90728	Bovee, Joel	Clark, Love & Hutson PLLC	7:20-cv-20999-MCR-GRJ
101.	90890	Hogan, Chris	Clark, Love & Hutson PLLC	7:20-cv-21169-MCR-GRJ
102.	90939	Parker, Wendell	Clark, Love & Hutson PLLC	7:20-cv-21249-MCR-GRJ
103.	90981	Villaflor, Michael Jagger	Clark, Love & Hutson PLLC	7:20-cv-21245-MCR-GRJ
104.	69071	Bruce, Gery	Coxwell & Associates PLLC.; 'Maggio Thompson LLP	7:20-cv-81225-MCR-GRJ
105.	148421	Cabiness, Christopher	Coxwell & Associates PLLC.; 'Maggio Thompson LLP	7:20-cv-30523-MCR-GRJ
106.	71486	Gibbs, Christopher	Danziger & De Llano	8:20-cv-25425-MCR-GRJ
107.	71697	Gutierrez De Pineres, Ricardo	Danziger & De Llano	8:20-cv-27196-MCR-GRJ
108.	72240	Irizarry, Alvin	Danziger & De Llano	8:20-cv-22444-MCR-GRJ
109.	72467	Kaeding, Jason	Danziger & De Llano	8:20-cv-23021-MCR-GRJ
110.	72885	Lewis, Kevin	Danziger & De Llano	8:20-cv-23780-MCR-GRJ
111.	73143	Martin, Brian	Danziger & De Llano	8:20-cv-23838-MCR-GRJ
112.	73365	Meeks, John	Danziger & De Llano	8:20-cv-24515-MCR-GRJ
113.	73906	Pacheco, Steve	Danziger & De Llano	8:20-cv-25647-MCR-GRJ
114.	73972	Patterson, Gloria	Danziger & De Llano	8:20-cv-25755-MCR-GRJ
115.	74263	Raley, Mason	Danziger & De Llano	8:20-cv-25621-MCR-GRJ
116.	74710	Schaaf, Daniel	Danziger & De Llano	8:20-cv-24835-MCR-GRJ
117.	75383	Taylor, Nathan	Danziger & De Llano	8:20-cv-27891-MCR-GRJ
118.	76051	Woodruff, Michael	Danziger & De Llano	8:20-cv-33012-MCR-GRJ
119.	107259	Allen, William	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-74412-MCR-GRJ
120.	107398	Aubrey, Sawyer	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-75257-MCR-GRJ
121.	107816	Bobo, Andrew	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-76576-MCR-GRJ
122.	107890	Bowen, Paul	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-72233-MCR-GRJ
123.	107908	Boxton, Darrol	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-72317-MCR-GRJ
124.	108280	Carlon, Mathew	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-72828-MCR-GRJ
125.	108301	Carrasco, Gregory	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-72853-MCR-GRJ
126.	108510	Clayton, Cody	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-73625-MCR-GRJ
127.	108515	Clement, Brandon	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-73640-MCR-GRJ
128.	108691	Cortez, Raymond	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-73289-MCR-GRJ
129.	108813	Cullifer, Kevin	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-73554-MCR-GRJ
130.	108918	Davies, Jason	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-76745-MCR-GRJ

Row	Plaintiff ID	Plaintiff Name	Law Firm Name	Active Docket Case Number
131.	108951	Davis, Demetrius	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-76824-MCR-GRJ
132.	109024	Delgado, Isabelino	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-77005-MCR-GRJ
133.	109232	Dudley, Chad	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-77412-MCR-GRJ
134.	109419	Esposito, Allen	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-77587-MCR-GRJ
135.	109572	Fleming, Thomas	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-79613-MCR-GRJ
136.	109599	Fontanezmedina, Juan	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-79639-MCR-GRJ
137.	109734	Gallardo, Albert	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-79770-MCR-GRJ
138.	109848	Giampapa, Phillip	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-79874-MCR-GRJ
139.	109930	Golden, Thomas	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-79953-MCR-GRJ
140.	110104	Grimberg-phillips, Gregory	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-74021-MCR-GRJ
141.	110406	Hayes, Cedric	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-74623-MCR-GRJ
142.	110801	Hutcherson, Raymond	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-74949-MCR-GRJ
143.	110949	GREEN, JOHN	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-75615-MCR-GRJ
144.	111009	Jolly, Christopher	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-75859-MCR-GRJ
145.	111137	Keller, Perry	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-76486-MCR-GRJ
146.	111221	King, Daniel	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-76763-MCR-GRJ
147.	111284	Knotts, Tyson	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-77919-MCR-GRJ
148.	111420	Lancaster, Brent	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-78599-MCR-GRJ
149.	111532	Lee, Matthew	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-79151-MCR-GRJ
150.	111546	Leins, Derek	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-79231-MCR-GRJ
151.	111605	Lewis, Jeffery	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-80556-MCR-GRJ
152.	111738	Lucero, John	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-81373-MCR-GRJ
153.	111855	Manning, Scott	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-81970-MCR-GRJ
154.	111878	Marin, Jose	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-82050-MCR-GRJ
155.	112118	McCulloch, Oakland	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-76921-MCR-GRJ
156.	112305	Messinger, Matthew	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-77294-MCR-GRJ
157.	112338	Milam, Raymond	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-77355-MCR-GRJ
158.	112423	Moats, James	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-77802-MCR-GRJ
159.	113068	Peacock, Bradford	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-79194-MCR-GRJ
160.	113554	Reyes, David	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-78863-MCR-GRJ
161.	113625	Riley, Terry	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-79167-MCR-GRJ
162.	114278	Skillings, Jaason	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-79088-MCR-GRJ
163.	114359	Smith, Adrian	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-79415-MCR-GRJ
164.	114694	Sykes, Lucius	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-80632-MCR-GRJ
165.	115086	Vasquez, Charles	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-81062-MCR-GRJ
166.	115144	Volpe, Michael	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-81313-MCR-GRJ
167.	115186	Walker, Jarvis	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-81490-MCR-GRJ
168.	115212	Walton, Brione	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-81599-MCR-GRJ
169.	115546	Wilson, Timothy	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-82700-MCR-GRJ
170.	115604	Woodard, Rodrick	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-82803-MCR-GRJ
171.	115650	Wright, Jason	Douglas & London	7:20-cv-82888-MCR-GRJ
172.	95243	Garcia Hernandez, Diego	Fenstersheib Law Group, P.A.	7:20-cv-98128-MCR-GRJ
173.	76441	Eakes, Matthew	FLEMING, NOLEN & JEZ, L.L.P	8:20-cv-14273-MCR-GRJ
174.	76525	Hill, Andre	FLEMING, NOLEN & JEZ, L.L.P	8:20-cv-14642-MCR-GRJ
175.	76612	Medina, Rafael	FLEMING, NOLEN & JEZ, L.L.P	8:20-cv-10065-MCR-GRJ
176.	3912	Jordal, David E.	Goldenberg Heller & Antognoli, P.C.	8:20-cv-04755-MCR-GRJ
177.	139689	Cooper, Timothy	Goza & Honnold, LLC	7:20-cv-63901-MCR-GRJ
178.	79167	Bodiford, Keith	Heninger Garrison Davis, LLC	7:20-cv-50657-MCR-GRJ
179.	79277	Cihak, Dillon	Heninger Garrison Davis, LLC	7:20-cv-52440-MCR-GRJ
180.	79342	Dawkins, Thomas	Heninger Garrison Davis, LLC	7:20-cv-52704-MCR-GRJ
181.	79458	Fresen, Jamie	Heninger Garrison Davis, LLC	7:20-cv-53109-MCR-GRJ
182.	79577	Hart, Kevin	Heninger Garrison Davis, LLC	7:20-cv-53112-MCR-GRJ
183.	79717	Kant, Anthony	Heninger Garrison Davis, LLC	7:20-cv-53615-MCR-GRJ
184.	79771	Layne, Ray	Heninger Garrison Davis, LLC	7:20-cv-53873-MCR-GRJ
185.	80152	Sargent, Joshua	Heninger Garrison Davis, LLC	7:20-cv-54151-MCR-GRJ
186.	80791	Mcallister, Johnny	Holland Law Firm	7:20-cv-84873-MCR-GRJ
187.	80798	McRoberts, Michael	Holland Law Firm	7:20-cv-84454-MCR-GRJ
188.	80858	Powell, Joseph	Holland Law Firm	7:20-cv-84674-MCR-GRJ
189.	138830	MINGES, ANTHONY S	Huber, Slack, Thomas & Marcelle, LLP	3:19-cv-02752-MCR-GRJ
190.	81519	KOLBOW, ERIK	Jensen & Associates	8:20-cv-35274-MCR-GRJ
191.	87683	GRIFFIN, SETH	Jensen & Associates	8:20-cv-35391-MCR-GRJ
192.	136967	YOSHIDA, BYRON T	Jensen & Associates	8:20-cv-38455-MCR-GRJ
193.	137274	Gordon, Dusty	Jensen & Associates	8:20-cv-36066-MCR-GRJ
194.	137564	Martinez, Javier	Jensen & Associates	8:20-cv-37878-MCR-GRJ
195.	137711	Holley, Johnny	Jensen & Associates	8:20-cv-39591-MCR-GRJ
196.	138194	Nadeau, Nathan	Jensen & Associates	8:20-cv-47478-MCR-GRJ
197.	138219	MACIEL, NICHOLAS	Jensen & Associates	8:20-cv-47592-MCR-GRJ

Row	Plaintiff ID	Plaintiff Name	Law Firm Name	Active Docket Case Number
198.	138227	Thompson, Nicholas	Jensen & Associates	8:20-cv-47632-MCR-GRJ
199.	138561	Goodchild, Stephen	Jensen & Associates	8:20-cv-37455-MCR-GRJ
200.	138586	Sefchik, Steven	Jensen & Associates	8:20-cv-37477-MCR-GRJ
201.	164383	KELLEY, MICHAEL ALLAN	Jensen & Associates	8:20-cv-50768-MCR-GRJ
202.	115880	Owens, Roderick	Joel Bieber Firm	7:20-cv-45860-MCR-GRJ
203.	116127	JOHNSON, JASON	Joel Bieber Firm	7:20-cv-45771-MCR-GRJ
204.	16742	Maness, George Benton	Johnson Becker	7:20-cv-43781-MCR-GRJ
205.	129588	Abshier, Jonathan	Junell & Associates, PLLC	7:20-cv-52193-MCR-GRJ
206.	129728	Applewhite, Carlos	Junell & Associates, PLLC	7:20-cv-52828-MCR-GRJ
207.	130173	Bradley, Alonzo	Junell & Associates, PLLC	7:20-cv-52735-MCR-GRJ
208.	130175	Brady, Josh	Junell & Associates, PLLC	7:20-cv-52742-MCR-GRJ
209.	130481	Casiano, Jonathan	Junell & Associates, PLLC	7:20-cv-54227-MCR-GRJ
210.	130792	Crittenden, Kenneth	Junell & Associates, PLLC	7:20-cv-53685-MCR-GRJ
211.	130962	Delgado, Rupert	Junell & Associates, PLLC	7:20-cv-54596-MCR-GRJ
212.	131198	ELLIS, ROBERT	Junell & Associates, PLLC	7:20-cv-55287-MCR-GRJ
213.	131255	Eubank, Michael	Junell & Associates, PLLC	7:20-cv-55436-MCR-GRJ
214.	131305	Fennell, Bryan	Junell & Associates, PLLC	7:20-cv-51214-MCR-GRJ
215.	131864	Hallisey, Robert	Junell & Associates, PLLC	7:20-cv-56711-MCR-GRJ
216.	133798	Parra, Omar	Junell & Associates, PLLC	7:20-cv-59602-MCR-GRJ
217.	133861	Pera, Gerald	Junell & Associates, PLLC	7:20-cv-59739-MCR-GRJ
218.	134256	Riley, Marvin	Junell & Associates, PLLC	7:20-cv-62306-MCR-GRJ
219.	3187	HAINS, LARRY J	Justinian & Associates PLLC	8:20-cv-33202-MCR-GRJ
220.	3302	WOOD, GEORGE J	Justinian & Associates PLLC	8:20-cv-33407-MCR-GRJ
221.	59858	TURNER, RICHARD WAYNE	Justinian & Associates PLLC	8:20-cv-33319-MCR-GRJ
222.	43103	Alcorn, Dustin A	Keller Lenkner	7:20-cv-57916-MCR-GRJ
223.	43182	Ash, Francis D	Keller Lenkner	7:20-cv-58164-MCR-GRJ
224.	43309	Belmar, Antonio L	Keller Lenkner	7:20-cv-58932-MCR-GRJ
225.	43312	Belvin, Billy L	Keller Lenkner	7:20-cv-58940-MCR-GRJ
226.	43668	Castro, Marcos A	Keller Lenkner	7:20-cv-59326-MCR-GRJ
227.	44530	Hall, Russell F	Keller Lenkner	7:20-cv-61734-MCR-GRJ
228.	44679	Hernandez, Eugene G	Keller Lenkner	7:20-cv-61601-MCR-GRJ
229.	44821	Inclan, Nicholas C	Keller Lenkner	7:20-cv-62099-MCR-GRJ
230.	44957	Jones, Jerry R	Keller Lenkner	7:20-cv-63301-MCR-GRJ
231.	45173	Lewis, Dwayne E	Keller Lenkner	7:20-cv-63717-MCR-GRJ
232.	45695	Northern, Justin	Keller Lenkner	7:20-cv-64557-MCR-GRJ
233.	45998	Rataj, Charles D	Keller Lenkner	7:20-cv-64733-MCR-GRJ
234.	46055	Ridings, Guy D	Keller Lenkner	7:20-cv-70081-MCR-GRJ
235.	46304	Seymour, Joshua R	Keller Lenkner	7:20-cv-70529-MCR-GRJ
236.	46406	Smith, Dorrell D	Keller Lenkner	7:20-cv-70656-MCR-GRJ
237.	46423	Smith, Thaddeus R	Keller Lenkner	7:20-cv-70673-MCR-GRJ
238.	46623	Thomas, Terry	Keller Lenkner	7:20-cv-75241-MCR-GRJ
239.	46676	Tonderum, Aaron L	Keller Lenkner	7:20-cv-75490-MCR-GRJ
240.	46736	Umberger, Christopher M	Keller Lenkner	7:20-cv-44106-MCR-GRJ
241.	46754	Valle, Richard J	Keller Lenkner	7:20-cv-75821-MCR-GRJ
242.	46906	White, Thomas J	Keller Lenkner	7:20-cv-76039-MCR-GRJ
243.	50999	Simonelli, Brett	Kirkendall Dwyer LLP	7:20-cv-66393-MCR-GRJ
244.	144291	JACKSON WYATT, JUNE	Law Offices of James Scott Farrin	7:20-cv-30438-MCR-GRJ
245.	8557	ORTIZ, DAVID	Law Offices of Peter G. Angelos, P.C.	7:20-cv-47809-MCR-GRJ
246.	8616	ROBINSON, LOVIE	Law Offices of Peter G. Angelos, P.C.	7:20-cv-48014-MCR-GRJ
247.	8850	HANNAN, JOBE	Law Offices of Peter G. Angelos, P.C.	7:20-cv-47804-MCR-GRJ
248.	8853	HARDMAN, MATTHEW	Law Offices of Peter G. Angelos, P.C.	7:20-cv-47817-MCR-GRJ
249.	9260	CLINE, MICHAEL	Law Offices of Peter G. Angelos, P.C.	7:20-cv-48703-MCR-GRJ
250.	10879	Knox, Cristina	Levin Papantonio	7:20-cv-00215-MCR-GRJ
251.	11048	Roland, Brandon C.	Levin Papantonio	7:20-cv-00400-MCR-GRJ
252.	11267	Moretz, Michael P.	Levin Papantonio	7:20-cv-00605-MCR-GRJ
253.	11269	Masters, David M.	Levin Papantonio	7:20-cv-00607-MCR-GRJ
254.	11320	Stanfield, Raymond D.	Levin Papantonio	7:20-cv-00668-MCR-GRJ
255.	11433	Darrington, Leondre	Levin Papantonio	7:20-cv-00779-MCR-GRJ
256.	11470	Davis, Brett F	Levin Papantonio	7:20-cv-00842-MCR-GRJ
257.	11524	Emmons, David A	Levin Papantonio	7:20-cv-00892-MCR-GRJ
258.	77383	Holliday, Alton	Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP	7:20-cv-50319-MCR-GRJ
259.	77457	Darnell, William	Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP	7:20-cv-50731-MCR-GRJ
260.	102778	Emerson, Daryll Roy	Matthews & Associates	8:20-cv-14643-MCR-GRJ
261.	103075	Macgregor, Andrew Scott	Matthews & Associates	8:20-cv-14407-MCR-GRJ
262.	139533	Cottrill, Steve Paul	Matthews & Associates	8:20-cv-16163-MCR-GRJ
263.	49323	Ingles, James	McCune Wright Arevalo	7:20-cv-52539-MCR-GRJ
264.	12098	Schissel, Joseph	McDonald Worley	7:20-cv-01545-MCR-GRJ

Row	Plaintiff ID	Plaintiff Name	Law Firm Name	Active Docket Case Number
265.	12240	Rader, Joshua	McDonald Worley	7:20-cv-01734-MCR-GRJ
266.	12634	Rice, Justin Daniel	McSweeney/Langevin LLC	7:20-cv-58665-MCR-GRJ
267.	91198	Belfi, Matthew	Messa & Associates	7:20-cv-00112-MCR-GRJ
268.	91207	Buckland, Tyler	Messa & Associates	7:20-cv-71515-MCR-GRJ
269.	91277	Hudson, Christopher T	Messa & Associates	7:20-cv-71711-MCR-GRJ
270.	91350	Rodolph, Isaac L.	Messa & Associates	7:20-cv-72113-MCR-GRJ
271.	138785	Hunt, Daniel J.	Messa & Associates	7:20-cv-88299-MCR-GRJ
272.	85771	Jackson, Sedrick	Monsour Law Firm	7:20-cv-47944-MCR-GRJ
273.	85800	Marks, Robert	Monsour Law Firm	7:20-cv-44747-MCR-GRJ
274.	85810	MCKINNEY, MICHAEL	Monsour Law Firm	7:20-cv-44760-MCR-GRJ
275.	5218	GROMACKI, KRISTOPHER	Morgan & Morgan	8:20-cv-21119-MCR-GRJ
276.	5304	BELLO, CHRISTOPHER	Morgan & Morgan	8:20-cv-21199-MCR-GRJ
277.	126094	English, Eric Ray	Morgan & Morgan	8:20-cv-31301-MCR-GRJ
278.	126326	LIVINGSTON, RONALD FRAYNE	Morgan & Morgan	8:20-cv-32524-MCR-GRJ
279.	126387	Norton, Wesley Eugene	Morgan & Morgan	8:20-cv-32809-MCR-GRJ
280.	126522	Moore, Jonathan Spencer	Morgan & Morgan	8:20-cv-34730-MCR-GRJ
281.	126619	Struck, Ryan Lloyd	Morgan & Morgan	8:20-cv-35155-MCR-GRJ
282.	51875	Surdo, Maurizio	Mostyn Law	7:20-cv-86584-MCR-GRJ
283.	126934	Rios, Omar	Mostyn Law	7:20-cv-98097-MCR-GRJ
284.	4730	Barker, Carl	Motley Rice, LLC	7:20-cv-42913-MCR-GRJ
285.	17403	Chapman, Jeremy G.	Murphy Law Firm	7:20-cv-82261-MCR-GRJ
286.	17475	Billingsley, David Allen	Murphy Law Firm	7:20-cv-82330-MCR-GRJ
287.	17818	Carlisle, James Patrick	Murphy Law Firm	7:20-cv-82611-MCR-GRJ
288.	18184	Ortiz, Daniel D.	Murphy Law Firm	7:20-cv-99631-MCR-GRJ
289.	157124	Moss, Joshua	Parafinczuk Wolf, P.A.	7:20-cv-34822-MCR-GRJ
290.	103537	Acevedo, Manuel	Parker Waichman LLP	7:20-cv-68140-MCR-GRJ
291.	103720	Beebe, Mark Allan	Parker Waichman LLP	7:20-cv-68848-MCR-GRJ
292.	103763	Blackwell, Richard Gene	Parker Waichman LLP	7:20-cv-68699-MCR-GRJ
293.	103827	Boyles, Cory Douglass	Parker Waichman LLP	7:20-cv-68931-MCR-GRJ
294.	103922	Button, Nathaniel Loren	Parker Waichman LLP	7:20-cv-69255-MCR-GRJ
295.	103964	Carrasco, Joseph Martin	Parker Waichman LLP	7:20-cv-69356-MCR-GRJ
296.	103984	Castillo, Daniel	Parker Waichman LLP	7:20-cv-69394-MCR-GRJ
297.	104297	Dvorak, Luke	Parker Waichman LLP	7:20-cv-69646-MCR-GRJ
298.	104312	Edwards, Tyrone Quincey	Parker Waichman LLP	7:20-cv-69693-MCR-GRJ
299.	104328	Elmore, Andrew Royce	Parker Waichman LLP	7:20-cv-69741-MCR-GRJ
300.	104528	George, David Elliott	Parker Waichman LLP	7:20-cv-71963-MCR-GRJ
301.	104848	Hurley, Jason J.	Parker Waichman LLP	7:20-cv-75433-MCR-GRJ
302.	104870	Jacquez, Edwardo Jaime	Parker Waichman LLP	7:20-cv-76629-MCR-GRJ
303.	105108	Leshkevich, Christopher Paul	Parker Waichman LLP	7:20-cv-70091-MCR-GRJ
304.	105135	Logsdon, Christopher Andrew	Parker Waichman LLP	7:20-cv-70143-MCR-GRJ
305.	105484	Niewiek, Andrew Scott	Parker Waichman LLP	7:20-cv-70904-MCR-GRJ
306.	105495	Oakley, Bobby Andrews	Parker Waichman LLP	7:20-cv-70916-MCR-GRJ
307.	105642	Potts, Jerry Ray	Parker Waichman LLP	7:20-cv-71217-MCR-GRJ
308.	105656	Prosser, Justin Michael	Parker Waichman LLP	7:20-cv-71268-MCR-GRJ
309.	105827	Ross, Mark Allen	Parker Waichman LLP	7:20-cv-71323-MCR-GRJ
310.	105835	Rowles, Jason Bradley	Parker Waichman LLP	7:20-cv-71346-MCR-GRJ
311.	106088	STOKES, ADDARREL	Parker Waichman LLP	7:20-cv-72122-MCR-GRJ
312.	94655	STEFFENS, JAMES	Paul LLP	3:19-cv-04566-MCR-GRJ
313.	145673	Kikta, Evan	Paul LLP	7:20-cv-98481-MCR-GRJ
314.	91910	Shockley, James	Pennock Law Firm LLC	8:20-cv-34326-MCR-GRJ
315.	91986	Chavez, Ryan	Pennock Law Firm LLC	8:20-cv-34479-MCR-GRJ
316.	52717	Weiermann, Daniel	Peterson & Associates, P.C.	7:20-cv-67513-MCR-GRJ
317.	52798	HARRIS, NAOMI	Peterson & Associates, P.C.	7:20-cv-67730-MCR-GRJ
318.	52964	STEVENSON, JAMES	Peterson & Associates, P.C.	7:20-cv-68632-MCR-GRJ
319.	52978	Hooker, Garrett	Peterson & Associates, P.C.	7:20-cv-69094-MCR-GRJ
320.	53328	SNOWBALL, WILLIAM	Peterson & Associates, P.C.	7:20-cv-69797-MCR-GRJ
321.	53445	HESTERMAN, JAMES	Peterson & Associates, P.C.	7:20-cv-71069-MCR-GRJ
322.	6330	Scott, KENNEDY	Preuss | Foster	8:20-cv-05045-MCR-GRJ
323.	19034	MCLEAN, CHARLES	Pulaski Law Firm, PLLC	7:20-cv-08445-MCR-GRJ
324.	26305	WETHERINGTON, GARY	Pulaski Law Firm, PLLC	7:20-cv-14947-MCR-GRJ
325.	26398	CHEN, CHERI	Pulaski Law Firm, PLLC	7:20-cv-15025-MCR-GRJ
326.	26428	DOYLE, COLT	Pulaski Law Firm, PLLC	7:20-cv-15097-MCR-GRJ
327.	26670	BENFATTO, SHANTE	Pulaski Law Firm, PLLC	7:21-cv-44503-MCR-GRJ
328.	26738	KEENER, JERRY	Pulaski Law Firm, PLLC	7:20-cv-19110-MCR-GRJ
329.	26811	RAZA, SYED	Pulaski Law Firm, PLLC	7:20-cv-19333-MCR-GRJ
330.	27460	PEEDEN, ROBERT	Pulaski Law Firm, PLLC	7:20-cv-03181-MCR-GRJ
331.	28136	MILLER, GARRETT	Pulaski Law Firm, PLLC	8:20-cv-17146-MCR-GRJ

Row	Plaintiff ID	Plaintiff Name	Law Firm Name	Active Docket Case Number
332.	28545	GREEN, COREY	Pulaski Law Firm, PLLC	7:20-cv-04234-MCR-GRJ
333.	28744	FREELAND, TIM	Pulaski Law Firm, PLLC	7:20-cv-05657-MCR-GRJ
334.	28817	Jackson, James	Pulaski Law Firm, PLLC	7:20-cv-05762-MCR-GRJ
335.	28864	KOHAIL, MOURAD	Pulaski Law Firm, PLLC	7:20-cv-05811-MCR-GRJ
336.	28989	BECKLER, PAULA	Pulaski Law Firm, PLLC	7:20-cv-06485-MCR-GRJ
337.	29025	DIDOMENICO, JOSEPH	Pulaski Law Firm, PLLC	7:20-cv-06681-MCR-GRJ
338.	29050	HEROLD, LEE	Pulaski Law Firm, PLLC	7:20-cv-06712-MCR-GRJ
339.	158287	Burgess, Candace	Pulaski Law Firm, PLLC	7:20-cv-65956-MCR-GRJ
340.	158330	Smyrnow, Victor	Pulaski Law Firm, PLLC	7:20-cv-65982-MCR-GRJ
341.	169748	Hall, John	Pulaski Law Firm, PLLC	7:20-cv-63303-MCR-GRJ
342.	5784	GREY, MATTHEW	Reich and Binstock, LLP	7:20-cv-01610-MCR-GRJ
343.	5862	Jumanah, Halimah	Reich and Binstock, LLP	7:20-cv-01913-MCR-GRJ
344.	5893	Lacey, Jeremy	Reich and Binstock, LLP	7:20-cv-02027-MCR-GRJ
345.	5936	MARINAKES, SOPHIA	Reich and Binstock, LLP	7:20-cv-02359-MCR-GRJ
346.	5960	Medders, Robert	Reich and Binstock, LLP	7:20-cv-02389-MCR-GRJ
347.	6087	Roper, Shanika	Reich and Binstock, LLP	7:20-cv-02945-MCR-GRJ
348.	6223	Weisman, Joshua	Reich and Binstock, LLP	7:20-cv-03569-MCR-GRJ
349.	92068	Avery, Nicholas	Robinson Calcagnie, Inc.	7:20-cv-55570-MCR-GRJ
350.	92280	CARTER, DANNY	Robinson Calcagnie, Inc.	7:20-cv-56246-MCR-GRJ
351.	92540	Frescas, Rudy	Robinson Calcagnie, Inc.	7:20-cv-56949-MCR-GRJ
352.	92674	HARRELL, CHARLES	Robinson Calcagnie, Inc.	7:20-cv-57149-MCR-GRJ
353.	93097	Parker, Derrick	Robinson Calcagnie, Inc.	7:20-cv-50021-MCR-GRJ
354.	93305	Sollars, Jared	Robinson Calcagnie, Inc.	7:20-cv-50966-MCR-GRJ
355.	127511	Theobald, Ryan	Robinson Calcagnie, Inc.	7:20-cv-51687-MCR-GRJ
356.	93718	Binney, John	Rogers, Patrick, Westbrook & Brickman, LLC	7:20-cv-72543-MCR-GRJ
357.	93775	Chee, Marvin	Rogers, Patrick, Westbrook & Brickman, LLC	7:20-cv-72717-MCR-GRJ
358.	93844	Esquivel, Lee Roy	Rogers, Patrick, Westbrook & Brickman, LLC	7:20-cv-73047-MCR-GRJ
359.	18274	Bobbe, Shawn	Saltz, Mongeluzzi, Barrett & Bendesky, P.C.	7:20-cv-42194-MCR-GRJ
360.	81707	Greening, Angela	Seeger Weiss LLP	7:20-cv-16227-MCR-GRJ
361.	81926	Hinson, Casey	Seeger Weiss LLP	7:20-cv-16701-MCR-GRJ
362.	82113	Engel, Daniel	Seeger Weiss LLP	7:20-cv-17043-MCR-GRJ
363.	82379	Killian, Frederick	Seeger Weiss LLP	7:20-cv-16899-MCR-GRJ
364.	82444	Crittenden, Ilon	Seeger Weiss LLP	7:20-cv-17260-MCR-GRJ
365.	82560	Detten, Jason	Seeger Weiss LLP	7:20-cv-18046-MCR-GRJ
366.	82626	Walton, Jeremiah	Seeger Weiss LLP	7:20-cv-18143-MCR-GRJ
367.	82661	Juarez, Jimmie	Seeger Weiss LLP	7:20-cv-18332-MCR-GRJ
368.	82725	Moore, Johnathan	Seeger Weiss LLP	7:20-cv-18375-MCR-GRJ
369.	82997	Hammond, Luke	Seeger Weiss LLP	7:20-cv-17824-MCR-GRJ
370.	83199	Rosales, Neil	Seeger Weiss LLP	7:20-cv-18790-MCR-GRJ
371.	83229	Allen, Patrick	Seeger Weiss LLP	7:20-cv-18848-MCR-GRJ
372.	83579	Bradley, Ted	Seeger Weiss LLP	7:20-cv-17104-MCR-GRJ
373.	83614	Radford, Thomas	Seeger Weiss LLP	7:20-cv-17222-MCR-GRJ
374.	83731	Douglas, William	Seeger Weiss LLP	7:20-cv-17587-MCR-GRJ
375.	83732	Elger, William	Seeger Weiss LLP	7:20-cv-17588-MCR-GRJ
376.	83969	Bagwell, Dustin	Shlosman Law Firm	8:20-cv-34036-MCR-GRJ
377.	81316	Fay, Brian L.	Shunnarah Vail Trial Attorneys, P.C.	8:20-cv-16535-MCR-GRJ
378.	81470	Vail, Heath B.	Shunnarah Vail Trial Attorneys, P.C.	8:20-cv-17858-MCR-GRJ
379.	81483	Whitebird, Colin	Shunnarah Vail Trial Attorneys, P.C.	8:20-cv-17939-MCR-GRJ
380.	16077	Vogt, David	Simmons Hanly Conroy	7:20-cv-66528-MCR-GRJ
381.	16095	Bowie, Marquette	Simmons Hanly Conroy	7:20-cv-66592-MCR-GRJ
382.	16105	Franklin, Eddie	Simmons Hanly Conroy	7:20-cv-66635-MCR-GRJ
383.	16177	Barglof, David	Simmons Hanly Conroy	7:20-cv-49140-MCR-GRJ
384.	14211	Polanco, Sara T.	Sommers Schwartz	8:20-cv-05568-MCR-GRJ
385.	14232	Medhanie, Fabion Charles	Sommers Schwartz	8:20-cv-05659-MCR-GRJ
386.	6514	Brachear, Rob	Stueve Siegel Hanson	7:20-cv-43827-MCR-GRJ
387.	6727	Davis, Justin S.	Stueve Siegel Hanson	7:20-cv-44232-MCR-GRJ
388.	6955	Goyings, Ryan	Stueve Siegel Hanson	7:20-cv-45600-MCR-GRJ
389.	7068	HOBAN, THOMAS C	Stueve Siegel Hanson	7:20-cv-46098-MCR-GRJ
390.	7225	King, Jeremy	Stueve Siegel Hanson	7:20-cv-46701-MCR-GRJ
391.	7281	Lavoie, Michael	Stueve Siegel Hanson	7:20-cv-46855-MCR-GRJ
392.	7460	Medina, Hector	Stueve Siegel Hanson	7:20-cv-47037-MCR-GRJ
393.	7505	Moreau, Gordon	Stueve Siegel Hanson	7:20-cv-47092-MCR-GRJ
394.	7510	Morgan, Christopher D.	Stueve Siegel Hanson	7:20-cv-47097-MCR-GRJ
395.	7589	Ozment, Joshua	Stueve Siegel Hanson	7:20-cv-47155-MCR-GRJ
396.	7668	Pooler, Thadd	Stueve Siegel Hanson	7:20-cv-47213-MCR-GRJ
397.	7964	Tamam, Ahmed	Stueve Siegel Hanson	7:20-cv-48133-MCR-GRJ
398.	49422	Mingee, Mark	Sullivan Papain Block McGrath Coffinas & Cannavo	3:19-cv-02331-MCR-GRJ

Row	Plaintiff ID	Plaintiff Name	Law Firm Name	Active Docket Case Number
399.	97035	Schofield, Christopher Edward	Taylor Martino, P.C.	7:20-cv-68034-MCR-GRJ
400.	164622	Austin, Kevin	The Carlson Law Firm	7:20-cv-88546-MCR-GRJ
401.	14265	Tate, Stuart	The Cochran Firm - Dothan	8:20-cv-16907-MCR-GRJ
402.	127989	Breter, Craig Michael	The DiLorenzo Law Firm, LLC	8:20-cv-20305-MCR-GRJ
403.	128402	CAMPBELL, MAYNARD	The DiLorenzo Law Firm, LLC	8:20-cv-17889-MCR-GRJ
404.	47554	OROSCO, RAYMOND	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-08009-MCR-GRJ
405.	47563	RICKERT-SMITH, ANGELICA	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-08018-MCR-GRJ
406.	47783	DUNHAM, JOSEPH A.	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-08172-MCR-GRJ
407.	47899	HENDERSON, ZACHARY	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-08339-MCR-GRJ
408.	55379	Gillespie, Sean	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-05996-MCR-GRJ
409.	55521	Beyah, Mahasin	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-06337-MCR-GRJ
410.	55539	Eide, Marvin	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-06381-MCR-GRJ
411.	55555	MCLAUGHLIN, SEAN	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-06395-MCR-GRJ
412.	55715	Tondre, Gary W.	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-06371-MCR-GRJ
413.	55738	EAGLE, REMI BALD	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-06507-MCR-GRJ
414.	55776	STAPLES, MATTHEW J	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-06595-MCR-GRJ
415.	55823	BEAVERS, DANIEL	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-06765-MCR-GRJ
416.	55935	CUMMINGS, BROOK	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-06887-MCR-GRJ
417.	55949	BAGGETT, STACEY S	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-06896-MCR-GRJ
418.	55953	MITCHELL, WAYNE R	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-71173-MCR-GRJ
419.	55978	LEWIS, CARL	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-06899-MCR-GRJ
420.	55981	LACHAPPELLE, RONNIE	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-06901-MCR-GRJ
421.	56088	RACKO, PATRICK C	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-06973-MCR-GRJ
422.	56263	JEFFREY, RONNIE B.	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-07492-MCR-GRJ
423.	56279	Gadsden, Dwayne	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-07237-MCR-GRJ
424.	56354	Gutierrez, David	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-07379-MCR-GRJ
425.	56366	OLSON, JEREMY	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-07403-MCR-GRJ
426.	56382	SMITH, ROBERT L.	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-07486-MCR-GRJ
427.	57185	Williams, Randall W.	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-10451-MCR-GRJ
428.	57372	CARNEY, LAVETA	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	8:20-cv-27165-MCR-GRJ
429.	57467	Toulon, Rama	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-10791-MCR-GRJ
430.	57629	BHALLA, REETI	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-10833-MCR-GRJ
431.	57709	Berns, Christopher	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-10965-MCR-GRJ
432.	57873	NUNEZ, JORGE	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-11126-MCR-GRJ
433.	58090	Bishop, Zachary E.	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-09899-MCR-GRJ
434.	58237	GOODMAN, CHRISTOPHER	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-10149-MCR-GRJ
435.	58354	DelDeo, Kenneth E.	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-10030-MCR-GRJ
436.	58428	KLEIN, JIMMY	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-10094-MCR-GRJ
437.	58486	McFadden, Kieran	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-11443-MCR-GRJ
438.	58696	FILES, JEREMY	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-10869-MCR-GRJ
439.	58855	McDonald, Andrew William	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-11300-MCR-GRJ
440.	59263	BROWN, ANTHONY R	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-11102-MCR-GRJ
441.	59322	STEVENS, TRACY	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-08558-MCR-GRJ
442.	59474	SCHRECKENGOST, JOSHUA G	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-08935-MCR-GRJ
443.	59477	Ward, Brian	The Gori Law Firm, P.C.	7:20-cv-08936-MCR-GRJ
444.	48727	Hernandez, Leonel	The Lanier Law Firm	7:20-cv-04391-MCR-GRJ
445.	48832	SEVILLA, RYAN	The Lanier Law Firm	7:20-cv-04513-MCR-GRJ
446.	48882	Brazell, Robert	The Lanier Law Firm	7:20-cv-04593-MCR-GRJ
447.	48938	Wilcox, John	The Lanier Law Firm	7:20-cv-04677-MCR-GRJ
448.	59909	LATOWSKI, DENNIS	The Law Office of L. Paul Mankin	8:20-cv-33526-MCR-GRJ
449.	48521	Wayne, James	The Moody Law Firm	7:20-cv-44217-MCR-GRJ
450.	48591	HUNTER, MOSES J.	The Moody Law Firm	7:20-cv-44365-MCR-GRJ
451.	96595	Barthelemy, Troy	The Murray Law Firm	8:20-cv-35873-MCR-GRJ
452.	96856	Vantrease, Joseph Thomas	The Murray Law Firm	8:20-cv-30576-MCR-GRJ
453.	49507	Murchison, Octavia	The Spencer Law Firm	7:20-cv-96389-MCR-GRJ
454.	49510	Sanders, Gary	The Spencer Law Firm	7:20-cv-96392-MCR-GRJ
455.	4967	Rollins, James	Thornton Law Firm	7:20-cv-00328-MCR-GRJ
456.	145292	Milledge, James	Tim Farris Law Firm, P.L.L.C.	8:20-cv-05465-MCR-GRJ
457.	19526	Barnes, Stephen	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-84303-MCR-GRJ
458.	19847	Braden, Bobbi	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-85660-MCR-GRJ
459.	20048	Burns, Benjamin R.	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-86068-MCR-GRJ
460.	20254	Chafin, Charles D.	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-88003-MCR-GRJ
461.	20283	Chavez, Rick	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-88312-MCR-GRJ
462.	20450	COONS, SHERRI L.	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-88665-MCR-GRJ
463.	20521	Craig, Jack	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-89249-MCR-GRJ
464.	20847	Dodge, Timothy L.	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-90401-MCR-GRJ
465.	21258	Forrester, Randal	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-93326-MCR-GRJ

Row	Plaintiff ID	Plaintiff Name	Law Firm Name	Active Docket Case Number
466.	21331	Fuller, Kevin D	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-93596-MCR-GRJ
467.	21386	Garcia Martinez, Carlos X.	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-93848-MCR-GRJ
468.	21724	Hage, Damien	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-94286-MCR-GRJ
469.	21965	Henson, Leeanna	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-94097-MCR-GRJ
470.	22194	Hulett, Ricard A	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-86391-MCR-GRJ
471.	22226	Hurst, Luke	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-86448-MCR-GRJ
472.	22308	James, Melvin	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-86874-MCR-GRJ
473.	22345	Jessie, Jerry J.	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-87333-MCR-GRJ
474.	22598	Kinder, Andrew	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-87861-MCR-GRJ
475.	23202	Maxwell, Michael	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-90012-MCR-GRJ
476.	23356	Meadows, Cameron P.	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-90359-MCR-GRJ
477.	23707	Nance, Kendrick	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-91107-MCR-GRJ
478.	23991	Pasion, Jay E	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-92033-MCR-GRJ
479.	24094	Perry, Dusty	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-92209-MCR-GRJ
480.	24605	Rodgers, Charles K.	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-92133-MCR-GRJ
481.	24630	Rodriguez, Octavio	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-92188-MCR-GRJ
482.	24763	Sabey, Scott	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-92523-MCR-GRJ
483.	25638	Tucker, Duwayne	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-94496-MCR-GRJ
484.	25696	Van Orman, James	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-94693-MCR-GRJ
485.	25789	Vogel, Joshuah	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-95553-MCR-GRJ
486.	25878	Washington, Gregory L.	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-96442-MCR-GRJ
487.	26042	Wilbanks, Cletis L	Tracey & Fox Law Firm	7:20-cv-97387-MCR-GRJ
488.	95438	Galimore, Steven Louis	Wagstaff & Cartmell, LLP	7:20-cv-21684-MCR-GRJ
489.	95488	Wright, Jerome Steven	Wagstaff & Cartmell, LLP	7:20-cv-22408-MCR-GRJ
490.	95561	Murphy, Gregory B.	Wagstaff & Cartmell, LLP	7:20-cv-22497-MCR-GRJ
491.	95639	Kruse, Christopher L	Wagstaff & Cartmell, LLP	7:20-cv-22780-MCR-GRJ
492.	95674	Musselman, Barry James	Wagstaff & Cartmell, LLP	7:20-cv-22842-MCR-GRJ
493.	95895	Trombley, Christopher	Wagstaff & Cartmell, LLP	7:20-cv-33113-MCR-GRJ
494.	96334	Frydrych, Thomas Joseph	Wagstaff & Cartmell, LLP	7:20-cv-36391-MCR-GRJ
495.	96376	Soto, Angel L	Wagstaff & Cartmell, LLP	7:20-cv-36424-MCR-GRJ
496.	96441	Wathey Colon, Harold A.	Wagstaff & Cartmell, LLP	7:20-cv-28637-MCR-GRJ
497.	88026	Blackall, Douglas D	Weitz & Luxenberg	7:20-cv-19116-MCR-GRJ
498.	118685	Harrison, Jarrod M	Weitz & Luxenberg	7:20-cv-27060-MCR-GRJ
499.	122156	Pettit, John	Weitz & Luxenberg	7:20-cv-29480-MCR-GRJ
500.	5065	Dougherty, Thomas	Wilson Law, P.A.	7:20-cv-43042-MCR-GRJ