IN THE

Plaintiff

CIRCUIT COURT

v.

state:

FOR

BALTIMORE CITY

Defendants

Case No.

AND

MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE PLAINTIFF FROM PRESENTING ARGUMENT AND/OR EVIDENCE REGARDING WRITTEN HOSPITAL POLICIES, PROTOCOLS AND PROCEDURES

Defendants and by their attorneys, and submit this Motion in Limine to Preclude Plaintiff from presenting argument and/or evidence at trial regarding written Hospital policies, protocols and/or procedures, and

- 1. This medical malpractice action involves allegations of negligence against an emergency medicine physician and physician's assistant for their alleged failure to diagnose a vascular injury to Mr. left leg during his admission to the St. Agnes Hospital Emergency Department ("St. Agnes ED") on December 3, 2009. Plaintiff has also sued and contends that it is vicariously liable for the negligence of its nurse employee,
- 2. It is anticipated that Plaintiff will attempt to argue at trial that either refused and/or failed to produce written Hospital policies, protocols and

procedures regarding patient care in the St. Agnes Hospital Emergency Department ("St. Agnes Hospital ED"), and/or that is liable because it failed to promulgate and/or follow written policies, protocols or procedures regarding patient care in the St. Agnes Hospital ED.

- 3. There is no allegation that or its nursing staff is negligent for failing to promulgate and/or follow any written policies, protocols and/or procedures regarding patient care in the St. Agnes Hospital ED. Consequently, argument and/or evidence regarding the existence or production of written Hospital policies, protocols and/or procedures is wholly irrelevant to the material issues in this case, is unduly prejudicial and will only serve to mislead and confuse the jury.
- 4. Moreover, any argument that and/or failed or refused to produce written Hospital policies, protocols and/or procedures is baseless. Rather, on April 16, 2014, this Court precluded Plaintiff from requesting the Hospital's written policies, protocols and procedures regarding patient care in the Emergency Department, which was made by way of Plaintiff's Notice of Deposition Duces Tecum for Corporate Representatives. See Order Granting Motion for Protective Order and Denying Plaintiff's Motion to Compel, attached herewith as Exhibit 1.1

Plaintiff's Notice of Deposition to is attached herewith as *Exhibit 2*. The Deposition Notice requested that produce over 86 written policies, protocols and/or procedures on various topics. See e.g., Areas of Inquiry 4(a) through 4(cc) and Requests for Documents Nos. 5-62. Defendant filed Formal Objections to Plaintiff's Notice, and a Motion for Protective Order that the deposition not be had, which Motion was granted for the reasons stated in Defendants' Objections and Motion for Protective Order, and after a hearing on same held on April 16, 2014. See Exhibit 1.

5. For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff should be prohibited from arguing and/or presenting evidence at trial that and failed or refused to produce written policies, protocols and/or procedures regarding patient care in the St. Agnes Hospital ED, or that (and its employee is negligent for failing to promulgate and/or follow any written policies, protocols and/or procedures. Permitting Plaintiff to present argument or evidence regarding the Hospital's written policies, procedures and/or protocols would only serve to mislead and confuse the trier of fact, and is unduly prejudicial to Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendants respectfully request that their Motion in Limine be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 1 $^{\rm st}$ day of May, 2014, a copy of the foregoing was mailed via U.S. Mail postage prepaid and e-mailed to: